

Public Document Pack



Simon Hobbs
Director of Legal and
Democratic Services
County Hall
Matlock
Derbyshire
DE4 3AG

Extension 38324
Direct Dial 01629 538324
Ask for Alisha Parker

PUBLIC

To: Members of Cabinet Member meeting - Adult Care

Wednesday, 17 June 2020

Dear Councillor,

Please attend a meeting of the **Cabinet Member meeting - Adult Care** to be held at **10.00 am** on **Thursday, 25 June 2020** in via Skype, the agenda for which is set out below.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S Hobbs', written over a light blue horizontal line.

Simon Hobbs
Director of Legal and Democratic Services

AGENDA

PART I - NON-EXEMPT ITEMS

1. Apologies for Absence
To receive apologies for absence (if any)
2. Declarations of Interest
To receive declarations of interest (if any)
3. Minutes (Pages 1 - 2)
To confirm the non-exempt minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet Member

– Adult Care held on 11 June 2020.

4. Review of Urgent Officer Decisions Taken to Support Covid-19 Response (Pages 3 - 58)
5. Discretionary Payments in Order to Maintain our Shared Lives Carer Capacity Throughout Covid-19 Period (Pages 59 - 68)
6. Infection Control Fund (Pages 69 - 74)
7. Exclusion of the Public

To move “That under Regulation 21 (1)(b) of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2000, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraph(s)... of Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972”

PART II - EXEMPT ITEMS

8. Declarations of Interest
To receive declarations of interest (if any)
9. Minutes (Pages 75 - 76)
To confirm the exempt minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet Member – Adult Care held on 11 June 2020.
10. Review of Urgent Officer Decisions Taken to Support Covid-19 Response in Relation to Exempt Matters (Pages 77 - 86)

PUBLIC

MINUTES of a meeting of the **CABINET MEMBER – ADULT CARE** held on 11 June 2020 at County Hall, Matlock.

PRESENT

Councillor J Wharmby (in the Chair)

Also in attendance were Councillors C Dale, and S Swann.

14/20 **MINUTES RESOLVED** that the minutes of the meeting held on 16 April 2020 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Cabinet Member.

15/20 **PETITIONS TO BE RECEIVED** The Cabinet Member received petitions forwarded to the County Council relating to matters contained within the portfolio of the Cabinet Member for Adult Care.

In compliance with the Council's Petition Scheme, 10 petitions were presented for receipt, investigation and formal response by the Executive Director for Adult Social Care and Health.

RESOLVED that (1) the petitions listed above be received and noted; and (2) the Local Members and lead petitioners be advised that in order to alleviate the anxieties for residents, family members and staff, as well as to bring clarity for the market as a whole, an urgent report had been submitted to Cabinet on 4 June 2020 recommending that following the consultation on the future of direct care homes for older people none of the homes proposed for closure would close unless a local care home or alternative provision was available to replace and further consultation had been undertaken as appropriate; and a further report setting out a programme of repair and refurbishment for these seven homes, to include any works required immediately to ensure their soundness and safety, would be presented to Cabinet in due course.

16/20 **REVIEW OF URGENT OFFICER DECISIONS REPORTED TO CABINET 23 APRIL 2020** The challenges relating to the COVID-19 pandemic had necessitated urgent decision making processes by the Executive Director for Adult Social Care and Health and Directors to be implemented to ensure the welfare of service users and the public and to safeguard the interests of the Council. The decisions had been made under the urgent delegated powers of the Executive Directors as set out in the Constitution.

In the main, the decisions related to short-term temporary arrangements which were subject to regular review. This had been particularly important where subsequent Government guidance had been issued notably in the area of

Adult Social Care. It had been intended that as Cabinet were now able to function with meetings being held 'remotely', the need for officers to make urgent decisions would now diminish.

However, it was important that officer decisions were kept under regular review by Elected Members and officers. At the 4 June Cabinet meeting it had been agreed that Cabinet would formally delegate review decisions to the relevant Cabinet Member (CABCO) meeting as these were meetings held in public, virtually if necessary, in order to ensure maximum transparency. A summary of review decisions made by Cabinet members would be reported to Cabinet every two months.

As a further safeguard, any significant reductions in service that had been reviewed and substantially maintained over any eight week period would be referred to Cabinet as soon as possible after the eight week period for ratification.

A summary of the reviews that had taken place since Cabinet initially approved these urgent officer decisions on 23 April 2020 and at other subsequent meetings of Cabinet along with notes and suggested recommendations in relation to the decision for the next fortnight had been presented. All review decisions to date had been discussed with the Executive Director and Cabinet Member following review by SMT.

RESOLVED to (1) note the review of decisions made under urgent delegated powers arising from the COVID-19 Pandemic; and (2) agree that future review decisions be made on a fortnightly basis by the Cabinet Member for Adult Care.

17/20 **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC RESOLVED** that the public be excluded from the meeting during the consideration of the remaining items on the agenda to avoid the disclosure of the kind of exempt information detailed in the following summary of proceedings:-

SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS CONDUCTED AFTER THE PUBLIC WERE EXCLUDED FROM THE MEETING

1. To confirm the exempt minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet Member for Adult Care held on 16 April 2020.
2. To receive the report from the Executive Director for Adult Social Care & Health on the Review of Urgent Officer Decisions Reported to Cabinet 23 April 2020.

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

CABINET MEMBER

25 June 2020

Report of the Executive Director for Adult Social Care & Health

**REVIEW OF URGENT OFFICER DECISIONS
TAKEN TO SUPPORT COVID-19 RESPONSE**

ADULT SOCIAL CARE AND HEALTH

1. Purpose of the Report

The purpose of the report is to provide the Cabinet Member with an update in relation to those actions which were the subject of Officer's Decisions utilising emergency decision making powers as detailed in the constitution and to provide assurance in relation to the reviews which have been undertaken.

2. Information and Analysis

The current challenges relating to the COVID-19 pandemic have necessitated urgent decision making processes by the Executive Director for Adult Social Care and Health and Directors to be implemented to ensure the welfare of service users and the public and to safeguard the interests of the Council. The decisions have been made under the urgent delegated powers to Executive Directors as set out in the Constitution.

In the main, the decisions relate to short-term temporary arrangements which are subject to regular review. This is particularly important where subsequent Government guidance has been issued notably in the area of Adult Social Care. It is intended that as Cabinet is now able to function by meetings being held 'remotely' the need for officers to make urgent decisions will now diminish.

However, it is important that officer decisions are kept under regularly review by elected members and officers. At the 4 June 2020 Cabinet meeting it was agreed that Cabinet would formally delegate review decisions to the relevant Cabinet Member (CABCO) meeting as these were meetings held in public, virtually if necessary, in order to ensure maximum transparency. A summary of review decisions made by Cabinet members will be reported to Cabinet every two months.

As a further safeguard any significant reductions in service that have been reviewed and substantially maintained over any eight week period will be referred to Cabinet as soon as possible after the eight week period for ratification.

Below, in table 1, is an update on the reviews that have taken place since the last Cabinet Member meeting on 11 June 2020. All review decisions to date have been discussed with the Executive Director and Cabinet Member following review by SMT.

A further report in relation to decisions taken in relation to exempt matters is on the agenda as a separate item.

A copy of the most up to date version of the Officer Decision Records is attached as Appendix 1.

Table 1: Summary of officer decision record reviews.

Officer Decision	Review notes and recommendation
<p>Adult Social Care Residential Homes for Older People, closure to visitors (ASCODR1)</p>	<p>This has been reviewed on seven occasions by Adult Social Care and Health Senior Management Team and a discussion between the Executive Director and Cabinet Member.</p> <p>The latest review on 18 June 2020 notes It is considered necessary to continue with visiting restrictions to DCC care homes as general social distancing requirements are still in place. It is important DCC maintains this overall position in order to protect vulnerable people living within the homes who may have long term or underlying health conditions which puts them at more risk should they contract COVID-19.</p> <p>National guidance has changed to enable family members to visit loved ones at the end of life and this is being delivered locally and this is being facilitated.</p> <p>As a result of the latest changes to social distancing arrangements introduced by the Government this week officers are exploring how visiting restrictions can be relaxed to enable a relative to meet with a loved one in an appropriate outdoor space, whilst social distancing is maintained. This work will be undertaken in conjunction with Health and Safety and Public Health colleagues to ensure any relaxing of</p>

	<p>the current arrangements are undertaken in a way that continues to minimise the risk to all parties of infection spread. The outcome of this work will be reported to Cabinet Member and will inform changes to visiting restrictions moving forward.</p> <p>The next review of this decision will take place by Adult Care Senior Management Team on 2 July 2020</p>
<p>Closure of Older Adults Day Centres and Cessation of service delivery for over 70's in learning disability day Services (ASCODR2)</p>	<p>This has been reviewed on seven occasions by Adult Social Care and Health Senior Management Team and a discussion between the Executive Director and Cabinet Member.</p> <p>The latest review on 18 June 2020 notes It is considered necessary to continue with visiting restrictions to DCC care homes as general social distancing requirements are still in place. It is important DCC maintains this overall position in order to protect vulnerable people living within the homes who may have long term or underlying health conditions which puts them at more risk should they contract COVID-19.</p> <p>As a result of the latest changes to social distancing arrangements introduced by the Government this week officers are exploring how visiting restrictions can be relaxed to enable a relative to meet with a loved one in an appropriate outdoor space, whilst social distancing is maintained. This work will be undertaken in conjunction with Health and Safety and Public Health colleagues to ensure any relaxing of the current arrangements are undertaken in a way that continues to minimise the risk to all parties of infection spread. The outcome of this work will be reported to Cabinet Member and will inform changes to visiting restrictions moving forward.</p> <p>The next review of this decision will take place by Adult Care Senior Management Team on 2 July 2020</p>
<p>Closure of building based Day Centres for people with a Learning Disability (ASCODR3)</p>	<p>This has been reviewed on seven occasions by Adult Social Care and Health Senior Management Team and a discussion between the Executive Director and Cabinet Member.</p>

	<p>The latest review on 18 June 2020 notes it is considered necessary to keep the closure of day centre provision in place due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clients who would normally attend a day centre have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via attendance at a day centre.</p> <p>Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>For clients with a complex learning disability, where appropriate, individual arrangements have been put in place to ensure there is an alternative day service provision and this is in place to prevent placement breakdown or avoid hospital admission.</p> <p>Officers are actively scoping options to see whether individuals who would normally attend a day centre can be offered alternative provision which would involve activities based outdoors in line with current social distancing requirements. This needs to be considered on a case by case basis in order to take into account a range of factors specific to an individual that may dictate whether this is feasible as individuals in the shielded group have greater restrictions still in place.</p> <p>The next review of this decision will take place by Adult Care Senior Management Team on 2 July 2020.</p>
<p>Cessation of planned respite breaks services for Older Adults and people with a Learning Disability (ASCODR4)</p>	<p>This has been reviewed on six occasions by Adult Social Care and Health Senior Management Team and a discussion between the Executive Director and Cabinet Member.</p> <p>The latest review on 18 June 2020 notes it is considered necessary to continue to cease planned respite activity due to ongoing social distancing</p>

	<p>requirements in line with national Government guidance. The revised guidance from the Government reducing some of the lockdown measures does not suggest the recommencing this type of activity is appropriate at this time. There continues to be clear evidence that spread within care homes is due to bringing people in from the community to these settings.</p> <p>Clients who would normally attend a planned respite break have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via respite. Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>Urgent respite provision is still in place as a mitigation for clients where this is considered appropriate.</p> <p>The next review of this decision will take place by Adult Care Senior Management Team on 2 July 2020.</p>
Fire Risk Mitigation Work (ASCODR6)	<p>This has been reviewed on six occasions by Adult Social Care and Health Senior Management Team and a discussion between the Executive Director and Cabinet Member.</p> <p>The latest review on 18 June 2020 notes fire risk mitigation works are continuing to take place and there have been some delays to the delivery of the work as per the programme plan. The two homes which were outstanding for fire alarm work are now clear of Covid so these should be progressing and there is “supply chain” issues with work progressing at one other home. Therefore in light of these outstanding issues it is appropriate the arrangements remain in place.</p> <p>The next review of this decision will take place by Adult Care Senior Management Team on 2 July 2020.</p>

Financial Charging	<p>This has been reviewed on five occasions by Adult Social Care and Health Senior Management Team and a discussion between the Executive Director and Cabinet Member.</p> <p>The latest review on 18 June 2020 notes there are no changes the interim arrangements are approved for a further two weeks as position remains the same. Note that to support market we are not charging client but we are still paying the provider.</p> <p>The next review of this decision will take place by Adult Care Senior Management Team on 2 July 2020.</p>
Integrated Community Equipment Service contract authorisation levels	<p>This has been reviewed on five occasions by Adult Social Care and Health Senior Management Team and a discussion between the Executive Director and Cabinet Member.</p> <p>The latest review on 18 June 2020 notes Risks are being managed at the moment. Conversations are taking place with system wide partners as to when it is appropriate to return to normal authorisation arrangements.</p> <p>The next review of this decision will take place by Adult Care Senior Management Team on 2 July 2020.</p>
Shared Lives carers additional payments	<p>This has been reviewed on four occasions by Adult Social Care and Health Senior Management Team and a discussion between the Executive Director and Cabinet Member. The original ODR is also being approved by Cabinet Member via a separate report at this meeting.</p> <p>The latest review on 18 June 2020 notes that due to the lack of day and residential short breaks being available to shared lives carers, individuals are in effect being asked to provide unpaid care for 3 days per week 9 to 5 plus and this needs to be recognised formally via additional payments. It is proposed that this arrangement continues.</p> <p>The next review of this decision will take place by Adult Care Senior Management Team on 2 July 2020.</p>

3. Feedback from Principal Social Worker

The Principal Social Worker has been engaged and consulted with these decisions. The Principal Social Worker is satisfied that the original decisions have been made with due regard for the Department of Health and Social Care Ethical Framework. Where appropriate Care Act easement guidance has been considered and formed part of the decision making process. The Principal Social Worker is aware of the review processes in place.

4. Financial Considerations

As part of the urgent officer decision-making process, regard has been had to financial implications and these are detailed where appropriate on the original Officer Decisions.

5. Human Resources Considerations

As part of the urgent officer decision-making process, regard has been had to human resources implications and these are detailed where appropriate on the original Officer Decisions.

6. Legal Considerations

The reviews of the Officer Decisions made under powers delegated to officers in accordance with the Constitution have ensured that timely consideration is given to the necessity and proportionality of the continuation of those actions outlined in the Officer Decision Records.

The preparation of an Equalities Impact Assessment in relation to all the Officer Decisions made using urgent delegated powers and will continue to inform decision making.

7. Equality implications

As part of the urgent officer decision making process, regard has been had to equality implications within the demand time scales applying. A consolidated Equality Impact Assessment is in development and was reported to the 4 June 2020 Cabinet meeting.

8. Other Considerations

In preparing this report the relevance of the following factors has been considered: Social Value, Human Rights, equality of opportunity, health, environmental, transport, property, social value and crime and disorder considerations.

9. Background Papers

- Officer Decision Records considered by Cabinet on 23 April 2020, 14 May 2020 and 4 June 2020 and published on the county council website.
- Decision making process during Covid Epidemic – report to Cabinet 4 June 2020
- Review of urgent officer decisions taken to support COVID-19 Response – report to Cabinet Member 11 June 2020
- Equality Impact Analysis – Urgent decisions in relation to council services, functions and assistance

10. Key Decision

As indicated in reports

11. Is it required that the Call-in period be waived in respect of the decisions being proposed within this report?

No

12. Officer's Recommendation

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Health is asked to:

- i. Note the review of decisions made under urgent delegated powers arising from the COVID-19 Pandemic; and
- ii. Note that future review decisions will be made on a fortnightly basis by the Cabinet Member for Adult Care.

Helen Jones
Executive Director – Adult Social Care & Health
County Hall
Matlock

Appendix 1 – Copy of Officer Decision Records

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Helen Jones		Service: Adult Social Care	
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Significant management decisions which could have an adverse or controversial impact on the delivery of services or achievement of agreed targets			
Residential Care			
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)		Adult Social Care Residential Homes for Older People, closure to visitors	
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?		Yes, this is a review of the decision taken on 20 March 2020	
Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?		Yes – it will be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more electoral divisions in the county area.	
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):		Decision taken on 20/3/20 to cease to allow visits from friends and family. Review process Decision is subject to a minimum of fortnightly review by SMT and CMT in line with national guidance re vulnerable groups and social distancing from HM Government.	
Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision) Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state how this has been taken into consideration.		Government advice earlier in the week that over 70s would be required to self –isolate within days. The expectation of further advice from government as to implications for care homes, but with none arriving. The advice from the Director of Public Health and the written guidance updated on 20.3.2020 and the interpretation provided by the DPH, from advice he had received from PHE that in the summary table the words “strongly advised” meant, that we should do it.	

	<p>In addition it was raised in ASC Bronze that staff working in homes were becoming anxious about the on-going visits from relatives.</p>
<p>Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options</p>	<p>We considered keeping the homes open to restricted visitors (friends and families only). This was the position we had moved to earlier in the week, but seemed insufficient in the light of the guidance and advice received.</p> <p>We considered further social distancing measures. These were to be a letter, to friends/ relatives advising them of the risks, asking them to consider their position and reminding them of hygiene and social distancing measures and the potential to visit outside of the home.</p> <p>This was in fact the position agreed at the 8.30 meeting on the 20.2.2020, however, we reverted subsequently after the advice from the DPH and formally changed the decision at a specially reconvened ASC Gold later in the day. Minutes of both meetings are available.</p>
<p>Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated</p>	<p>A risk assessment was not undertaken as the decision was taken urgently in response to national guidance issued by the Government in relation to the COVID-19 Pandemic. Subsequently some adverse impacts have been identified and these have been mitigated against – e.g. by ensuring all DCC HOPs and CCC's have access to Skype technology so family members can keep in touch.</p>
<p>Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate the decision</p>	<p>Yes, this decision would have been subject to consultation as it would potentially adversely impact on family members, carers and friends of the individual in the home, as well as the person living in the care establishment. This decision had to balance the need to consult with the increased risk to vulnerable groups. Consultation did not take</p>

	<p>place due to national advice being issued from the Government regarding the COVID-19 pandemic response which stated that vulnerable groups needed to undertake social distancing to protect their health and wellbeing. Therefore, an urgent decision needed to be taken.</p>
<p>Has any adverse impact on groups with protected characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?</p>	<p>Staff in the homes are supporting residents on an ongoing basis. Skype arrangements have been put in place in all homes as part of mitigation to enable residents to have contact with friends, family and carers via a virtual meeting space.</p>
<p>Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))</p>	<p>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-guidance-on-social-distancing-and-for-vulnerable-people/guidance-on-social-distancing-for-everyone-in-the-uk-and-protecting-older-people-and-vulnerable-adults</p> <p>The Principal Social Worker has been engaged and consulted with this decision. The Principal Social Worker is satisfied this decision has been informed by Government advice for residential care and supported living guidance which states:</p> <p>‘How care homes can minimise the risks of transmission? Care home providers should stop all visits to residents from friends and family. Medical staff and delivery couriers can still visit, but you should leave a hand sanitiser by the entrance and ask them to wash their hands as soon as they enter the building.’</p> <p>The Principal Social Worker is satisfied that the decision maker has shown due regard for balancing the impact on Human Rights Act Article 8, Care Act 2014 Wellbeing Principle and the Department of Health and Social Care Ethical Framework when reaching this decision.</p>

All Adult Social Care homes have been issued with a laptop and staff are supporting remote contact with family and friends via technology including telephone, videos, email and Skype so that essential family contact and links are maintained. All residents have person centred support plans and personal service plans that identify important others and contingency plans developed to ensure contact is promoted.

13. Financial Considerations

There are no additional costs associated with this decision.

14. Human Resources Considerations

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-guidance-on-social-distancing-and-for-vulnerable-people/guidance-on-social-distancing-for-everyone-in-the-uk-and-protecting-older-people-and-vulnerable-adults>

15. Legal Considerations

Comments from legal 07/04

Decision is not time limited – would be beneficial to indicate a review date.

Response: This decision will be reviewed every two weeks by SMT as part of RODR process and this will be referenced in Cabinet Report as mitigation

Decision has HR implications (Article 8 – family life) report should detail how these rights have been balanced and how residents might be supported in having contact with friends and family , otherwise than direct contact.

Response: This is referenced via mitigations provided via Skype and it is acknowledged that this an issue, however it is felt important

	<p>in the current climate that this has to be balanced against an individual's wellbeing and the risks to a person's health if visiting is permitted within a home increasing chances of transmission of the disease.</p>
<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member (s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>This took place with Cllr Wharmby by phone on 20th March 2020. Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 19/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 27/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby at Cab Co 11/06/2020</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>To close all DCC residential homes with immediate effect on 20.3.2020 and to advise independent sector homes of our position and expectation. SMT review: 22/04/2020 - Social distancing requirements still in place and therefore need to maintain position. The national guidance now states there is a requirement to allow family members to visit loved ones at the end of life which is being delivered locally. Video calling arrangements are in place and has been positively received. SS is to provide clarity on end of life procedures across all establishments.</p> <p>Review by SMT 06/05/2020: It is considered necessary to continue with visiting restrictions to DCC care homes as social distancing requirements are still in place and therefore it is important DCC maintains this position in order to protect vulnerable people living within the homes who may have long term or underlying health conditions which puts them at more risk of COVID-19. Feedback from staff working in Derbyshire County Council operated residential care homes informed the original decision as some individuals were becoming anxious about the on-going visits from relatives and the risk that posed to individuals living in the home. This remains a concern amongst operational teams in relation to infection control. Nationally, it is recommended that visiting restrictions are in place for care homes due to concerns about the prevalence of the disease in these settings.</p> <p>National guidance has changed to enable family members to visit loved ones at the end of life and this is being delivered locally. Direct Care staff are developing a</p>

consistent approach to end of life visiting arrangements to facilitate the implementation of this national guidance. We have ensured that each DCC home has video calling facilities to allow family members to keep in regular contact with people living in a DCC residential care establishment and this has been received positively received.

We have also informed PVI Sector homes of this ongoing position to inform their decision making.

Review by SMT 21/05/2020: It is considered necessary to continue with visiting restrictions to DCC care homes as social distancing requirements are still in place and therefore it is important DCC maintains this position in order to protect vulnerable people living within the homes who may have long term or underlying health conditions which puts them at more risk of COVID-19.

Review by SMT 04/06/2020: It is considered necessary to continue with visiting restrictions to DCC care homes as general social distancing requirements are still in place. It is important DCC maintains this overall position in order to protect vulnerable people living within the homes who may have long term or underlying health conditions which puts them at more risk should they contract COVID-19.

National guidance has changed to enable family members to visit loved ones at the end of life and this is being delivered locally and this is being facilitated.

As a result of the latest changes to social distancing arrangements introduced by the Government this week officers are exploring how visiting restrictions can be relaxed to enable a relative to meet with a loved one in an appropriate outdoor space, whilst social distancing is maintained. This work will be undertaken in conjunction with Health and Safety and Public Health colleagues to ensure any relaxing of the current arrangements are undertaken in a way that continues to minimise the risk to all parties of infection spread. The outcome of this work will be reported to Cabinet Member and will inform changes to visiting restrictions moving forward.

Review by SMT 11/06/2020: Hold position as PVI sector currently more broadly is not seeking to relax visiting as a potential risk to the residents in the home in terms of

	<p>infection prevention control. Officers to undertake a piece of work scoping of what might be possible and under what circumstances.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: It is considered necessary to continue with visiting restrictions to DCC care homes as general social distancing requirements are still in place. It is important DCC maintains this overall position in order to protect vulnerable people living within the homes who may have long term or underlying health conditions which puts them at more risk should they contract COVID-19.</p> <p>National guidance has changed to enable family members to visit loved ones at the end of life and this is being delivered locally and this is being facilitated.</p> <p>As a result of the latest changes to social distancing arrangements introduced by the Government this week officers are exploring how visiting restrictions can be relaxed to enable a relative to meet with a loved one in an appropriate outdoor space, whilst social distancing is maintained. This work will be undertaken in conjunction with Health and Safety and Public Health colleagues to ensure any relaxing of the current arrangements are undertaken in a way that continues to minimise the risk to all parties of infection spread. The outcome of this work will be reported to Cabinet Member and will inform changes to visiting restrictions moving forward</p>
<p>Signature and Date:</p> <p>Simon Stevens 20/03/2020</p>	

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Simon Stevens		Service: Adult Social Care	
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Significant management decisions which could have an adverse or controversial impact on the delivery of services or achievement of agreed targets			
Day Care - Temporary Closure / cessation of Service			
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)		Service closure – Older Adults Day Care	
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?		Yes, original decision was taken on 20/03/2020	
Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?		Yes – it will be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more electoral divisions in the county area.	
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):		Closure of Older Adults Day Centres with effect from 5pm on 20/03/2020 Cessation of service delivery for over 70's in LD Day Services with effect from 5pm on 20/03/2020	
Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision) Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state how this has been taken into consideration.		Government and PHE advice in relation to reducing the risk of infection spread in relation to Covid-19 states that those over 70 should self-isolate. As the vast majority of users of our older adult's day centres are over 70 years old it is not possible to continue to operate those services as people move to self-isolation. Although there are small numbers of people under 70 attending the centres they are also likely to fall into the category of having an underlying 'high risk' health condition that means they would be advised to socially distance.	

	<p>To support the government guidance for over 70's to self-isolate we need to close our day centres for older adults and avoid bringing groups of people together.</p> <p>Similarly we need to cease the attendance for those over 70 attending out LD day services so that we can follow government guidance and protect those individuals, other service users and staff from increased risk.</p> <p>Review process Decision is subject to a minimum of fortnightly review by SMT and CMT in line with national guidance re vulnerable groups and social distancing from HM Government.</p>
Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options	<p>All individuals will be assessed as to whether they require ongoing alternative support to enable them to manage without their day centre service and this will be provided.</p> <p>Monitoring of the wellbeing of individuals will also be undertaken during the closure period.</p>
Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated	<p>Individual client based risk assessment have been undertaken in relation to this decision and concerns relating to long term planning, carer support, carer breakdown, provision of emergency respite if appropriate and alternative arrangements are being considered.</p>
Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate the decision	<p>Yes it would have been subject to consultation with service users. Consultation did not take place due to national advice being issued from the Government regarding the COVID-19 pandemic response which stated that vulnerable groups needed to undertake social distancing to protect their health and wellbeing. Therefore, an urgent decision needed to be taken.</p>
Has any adverse impact on groups with protected	<p>All clients that have been impacted by this decision are having their care and</p>

<p>characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?</p>	<p>support plans reviewed to assess whether any additional support is required and consideration is being given to how this support may be achieved within current social distancing guidelines and additional guidance to individuals within vulnerable and shielded groups where appropriate.</p>
<p>Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))</p>	<p>Staff will be temporarily redeployed to support other service areas responding to the Covid-19 pressures</p> <p>Legal feedback 07/04 Decision is not time limited, if the issue persists in the longer term then report would be beneficial to highlight longer term strategy to manage the needs of the affected cohort.</p> <p>Response: Two week review process is now in place and captured on RODR pro forma</p> <p>ODR indicates that individual assessments are to be undertaken to ensure affected person receive the support necessary – update and assurance could be given in the report to confirm timescales and outcomes for these assessments</p> <p>Response: This will be detailed in Cabinet report and RODR document, but reviews have taken place and are being actively reviewed by P&P teams every two weeks. If appropriate following an initial RAG rated assessment social work teams are contacting some individuals on a daily basis to check there is no significant change in their circumstances that may require consideration and mitigation.</p>

Feedback from Principal Social Worker

The Principal Social Worker has been engaged and consulted with this decision. The Principal Social Worker is satisfied that this decision has been made with due regard for the Department of Health and Social Care Ethical Framework. Whilst this decision was informed by the Government's guidance about social distancing, full consideration has been given to contingency discussions and planning for alternative support evidenced by the statements:

'All individuals will be assessed as to whether they require ongoing alternative support to enable them to manage without their day centre service and this will be provided.'

'Monitoring of the wellbeing of individuals will also be undertaken during the closure period.'

Co-funding contributions will be suspended for clients whose only service is day care, but will continue for clients who access other community-based services.

Financial Considerations

It is estimated that the department will lose approximately £2,800 per week in co-funding contributions. This loss of income will be met from the Covid-19 Emergency Grant.

Human Resources Considerations

Staff will be temporarily redeployed to support other service areas responding to the Covid-19 pressures.

<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member (s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>Discussion with Cllr Jean Wharmby on 19/03/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 19/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 27/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby at Cab Co 11/06/2020</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>Agreed Review agreed by CMT 07/04/2020 and SMT 08/04/2020 Review by SMT 22/04/2020 - social distancing requirements are still in place therefore appropriate to maintain closures for a further two weeks. Review by SMT 06/05/2020: It is considered necessary to keep the closure of day centre provision in place due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clients who would normally attend a day centre have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via attendance at a day centre. Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>Review by SMT 21/05/2020: It is considered necessary to keep the closure of day centre provision in place due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clients who would normally attend a day centre have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via attendance at a day centre. Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>Review by SMT 04/06/2020: It is considered necessary to keep the closure of day centre provision in place due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clients who would</p>

	<p>normally attend a day centre have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via attendance at a day centre.</p> <p>Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>Officers are actively scoping options to see whether individuals who would normally attend a day centre can be offered alternative provision which would involve activities based outdoors in line with current social distancing requirements. This needs to be considered on a case by case basis in order to take into account a range of factors specific to an individual that may dictate whether this is feasible as individuals in the shielded group have greater restrictions still in place.</p> <p>Review by SMT 11/06/2020: Additional weekly review has not resulted in a change in position as the scoping work is continuing to take place. Day Centres to be added to the asset management list to scope in terms of feasibility of re-opening.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: It is considered necessary to continue with visiting restrictions to DCC care homes as general social distancing requirements are still in place. It is important DCC maintains this overall position in order to protect vulnerable people living within the homes who may have long term or underlying health conditions which puts them at more risk should they contract COVID-19.</p> <p>As a result of the latest changes to social distancing arrangements introduced by the Government this week officers are exploring how visiting restrictions can be relaxed to enable a relative to meet with a loved one in an appropriate outdoor space, whilst social distancing is maintained. This work will be undertaken in conjunction with Health and Safety and Public Health colleagues to ensure any relaxing of the current arrangements are undertaken in a way that continues to minimise the risk to all parties of infection spread. The outcome of this work</p>
--	--

	will be reported to Cabinet Member and will inform changes to visiting restrictions moving forward.
Signature and Date: Simon Stevens 20/03/2020	

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Simon Stevens		Service: Adult Social Care	
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Significant management decisions which could have an adverse or controversial impact on the delivery of services or achievement of agreed targets			
Day Care - Temporary Closure / cessation of Service			
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)		Service closure – LD Day Services	
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?		<p>Yes, original decision date was 23/03/2020. Review has been instigated due to proposal outlined in attached report to utilise two learning disability day service buildings to support clients with complex needs throughout COVID-19 and prevent the breakdown of current care packages or admission to hospital.</p> <p>To allow access to Lea Green Outdoor Centre (near Cromford) for two days per week to allow sessional access to a small number of our clients. As this is an education establishment this may require agreement from the Executive Director of Children's Services.</p> <p>To utilise Adult Care transport and or contracted taxi provision to support people as appropriate to access these opportunities.</p>	
Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?		Yes – it will be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more electoral divisions in the county area.	
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):		<p>Closure of building based Day Centres for people with a Learning Disability with effect from 5pm on 23/03/2020.</p> <p>This will mean the closure of DCC run day centres for people with a day service and advice to PVI services that operate in large groups using a building base to close.</p>	

	<p>Other PVI day services that operate in small groups outside and through one to one activity with personal assistance are not at this time being advised to close.</p> <p>Review process Decision is subject to a minimum of fortnightly review by SMT and CMT in line with national guidance re vulnerable groups and social distancing from HM Government. The decision has also been reviewed in light of operational recruitments to put mitigations in place to support people with more complex needs who are at risk of hospital admission or placement breakdown.</p>
<p>Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision) Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state how this has been taken into consideration.</p>	<p>We have already previously agreed, based on Government and PHE advice in relation to reducing the risk of infection spread in relation to Covid-19 states that those over 70 should self-isolate, to cease the service offer for that group.</p> <p>We have also previously agreed to advise people under 70 attending the centres who fall into the category of having an underlying 'high risk' health condition that means they would be advised to socially distance. To cease attendance.</p> <p>Due to the nature of these building based services it is not possible to continue to deliver the service in a way that addresses the requirement for social distancing and so continued delivery increases the risk to service users and staff.</p> <p>As such, In order to adhere to the government guidance we need to close our day centres for people with a learning disability and avoid bringing groups of people together.</p>

<p>Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options</p>	<p>We have tried to continue to deliver the service using opportunities to do this in a way that enable social distancing but this has not proven sustainable.</p> <p>All individuals will be assessed as to whether they require ongoing alternative support to enable them to manage without their day centre service and this will be provided.</p> <p>Monitoring of the wellbeing of individuals will also be undertaken during the closure period.</p> <p>17/04: Options regarding the limited operation of two day centres to support people with complex needs has been considered and approved as appropriate risk mitigation to prevent placement breakdown and reduce the probability of hospital admission.</p>
<p>Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated</p>	<p>Individual client based risk assessment have been undertaken in relation to this decision and concerns relating to long term planning, carer support, carer breakdown, provision of emergency respite if appropriate and alternative arrangements are being considered.</p>
<p>Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate the decision</p>	<p>Yes it would have been subject to consultation with service users. Consultation did not take place due to national advice being issued from the Government regarding the COVID-19 pandemic response which stated that vulnerable groups needed to undertake social distancing to protect their health and wellbeing. Therefore, an urgent decision needed to be taken.</p>
<p>Has any adverse impact on groups with protected characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?</p>	<p>All clients that have been impacted by this decision are having their care and support plans reviewed to assess whether any additional support is required and consideration is being given to how this support may be achieved within current social distancing guidelines and additional guidance to</p>

	individuals within vulnerable and shielded groups where appropriate.
Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))	<p>Feedback from Principal Social Worker</p> <p>The Principal Social Worker has been engaged and consulted with this decision. The Principal Social Worker is satisfied that this decision has been made with due regard for the Department of Health and Social Care Ethical Framework. Whilst this decision was informed by the Government's guidance about social distancing, full consideration has been given to contingency discussions and planning for alternative support evidenced by the statements:</p> <p>'All individuals will be assessed as to whether they require ongoing alternative support to enable them to manage without their day centre service and this will be provided.'</p> <p>'Monitoring of the wellbeing of individuals will also be undertaken during the closure period.'</p> <p>Co-funding contributions will be suspended for clients whose only service is day care, but will continue for clients who access other community-based services.</p> <p>Financial Considerations</p> <p>It is estimated that the department will lose approximately £5,000 per week in client contributions. This loss of income will be met from the Covid-19 emergency grant.</p> <p>Human Resources Considerations</p>

	<p>Staff will be temporarily redeployed to support other service areas responding to the Covid-19 pressures.</p> <p>Legal Considerations</p> <p>The Council has powers in accordance with s1-6 of the Localism Act 2011 to do that which will be to the ‘benefit of the authority, its area or persons resident or present in its area’. The proposed benefit of this action is to support the reduction in COVID-19 infections and reduce the transmission of the virus. Due to the timescales involved it has not been possible to consult affected persons but arrangements have been put in place to assess those current service users who will be impacted by the decision and to ensure that they are provided with alternate support should this be required. An evaluation of the risks of this course of action and the mitigation of these risks and an EIA are being undertaken.</p> <p>The decision is a proportionate and reasonable response to COVID-19 risks. The suspension of this service type, in isolation, where other services will continue on a business as usual basis is possible applying flexibilities under the Care Act 2014. It represents a Stage 2 decision as set out within the Care Act easements: Guidance for local authorities</p>
<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member (s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>Discussion between Helen Jones and Cllr Jean Wharmby on 23/03/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 19/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 27/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby at Cab Co 11/06/2020</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>Agreed by CMT 23/03/2020</p>

	<p>Review agreed by CMT 07/04/2020 and SMT 08/04/2020</p> <p>Review by SMT 17/04/2020 in light of report embedded as a background paper re proposal to utilise two learning disability day service buildings during COVID-19 outbreak.</p> <p>Review by SMT 22/04/2020 alongside OP Day Centre closure - social distancing requirements are still in place therefore appropriate to maintain closures for a further two weeks.</p> <p>Review by SMT 06/05/2020 It is considered necessary to keep the closure of day centre provision in place due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clients who would normally attend a day centre have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via attendance at a day centre.</p> <p>Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>For clients with a complex learning disability, where appropriate, individual arrangements have been put in place to ensure there is an alternative day service provision and this is in place to prevent placement breakdown or avoid hospital admission.</p> <p>Review by SMT 21/05/2020: It is considered necessary to keep the closure of day centre provision in place due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clients who would normally attend a day centre have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via attendance at a day centre. Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p>
--	--

	<p>Review by SMT 04/06/2020: It is considered necessary to keep the closure of day centre provision in place due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clients who would normally attend a day centre have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via attendance at a day centre.</p> <p>Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>For clients with a complex learning disability, where appropriate, individual arrangements have been put in place to ensure there is an alternative day service provision and this is in place to prevent placement breakdown or avoid hospital admission.</p> <p>Officers are actively scoping options to see whether individuals who would normally attend a day centre can be offered alternative provision which would involve activities based outdoors in line with current social distancing requirements. This needs to be considered on a case by case basis in order to take into account a range of factors specific to an individual that may dictate whether this is feasible as individuals in the shielded group have greater restrictions still in place.</p> <p>Review by SMT 11/06/2020: Additional weekly review has not resulted in a change in position as the scoping work is continuing to take place. Day Centres to be added to the asset management list to scope in terms of feasibility of re-opening.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: It is considered necessary to keep the closure of day centre provision in place due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clients who would normally attend a day centre have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional</p>
--	---

	<p>support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via attendance at a day centre.</p> <p>Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>For clients with a complex learning disability, where appropriate, individual arrangements have been put in place to ensure there is an alternative day service provision and this is in place to prevent placement breakdown or avoid hospital admission.</p> <p>Officers are actively scoping options to see whether individuals who would normally attend a day centre can be offered alternative provision which would involve activities based outdoors in line with current social distancing requirements. This needs to be considered on a case by case basis in order to take into account a range of factors specific to an individual that may dictate whether this is feasible as individuals in the shielded group have greater restrictions still in place.</p>
<p>Signature and Date: Simon Stevens 23/03/2020</p>	

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Simon Stevens		Service: Adult Social Care	
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Significant management decisions which could have an adverse or controversial impact on the delivery of services or achievement of agreed targets			
Day Care - Temporary Closure / cessation of Service			
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)		Service closure – planned respite.	
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?		Yes, review of decision made 23/03/2020	

Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?	Yes – it will be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more electoral divisions in the county area.
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):	<p>Cessation of planned respite breaks services for Older Adults and people with a Learning Disability with effect from 23/03/2020</p> <p>Review process Decision is subject to a minimum of fortnightly review by SMT and CMT in line with national guidance re vulnerable groups and social distancing from HM Government.</p>
<p>Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision)</p> <p>Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state how this has been taken into consideration.</p>	<p>Government and PHE advice in relation to reducing the risk of infection spread in relation to Covid-19 states that those over 70 should self-isolate.</p> <p>We need to reduce the risk of cross infection for both those using respite and long term residents and so reducing the number of individuals coming in and out of the service is essential.</p> <p>As the vast majority of users of our older adult respite care services are over 70 years old it is not possible to continue to operate those services safely as people move to self-isolation.</p> <p>Similarly significant numbers of the people using our LD respite services are likely to fall into the category of having an underlying ‘high risk’ health condition that means they would be advised to socially distance.</p> <p>And so in order to protect them and other residents we need to cease the non-urgent respite delivery.</p>

Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options	All individuals will be monitored and reviewed during the cessation period to ensure that the withdrawal of planned respite doesn't lead to significant risks to their health and wellbeing.
Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated	Individual client based risk assessment have been undertaken in relation to this decision and concerns relating to long term emergency respite if appropriate and alternative arrangements are being considered.
Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate the decision	Yes it would have been subject to consultation with service users. Consultation did not take place due to national advice being issued from the Government regarding the COVID-19 pandemic response which stated that vulnerable groups needed to undertake social distancing to protect their health and wellbeing. Therefore, an urgent decision needed to be taken.
Has any adverse impact on groups with protected characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?	All clients that have been impacted by this decision are having their care and support plans reviewed to assess whether any additional support is required and consideration is being given to how this support may be achieved within current social distancing guidelines and additional guidance to individuals within vulnerable and shielded groups where appropriate. Emergency respite is still available where appropriate.
Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))	Any excess staffing capacity generated as a result of this cessation of service will be temporarily redeployed to support other service areas responding to the Covid-19 pressures Legal advice 07/04 (JL): Decision is not time limited, if the problem persists in the longer term report would be beneficial to highlight longer term strategy to manage the needs of the affected cohort.

Response: Two week review process is now in place and captured on RODR pro forma

ODR indicates that individual assessments are to be undertaken to ensure affected person receive the support necessary – update and assurance could be given in the report to confirm timescales and outcomes for these assessments

Response: This will be detailed in Cabinet report and RODR document, but reviews have taken place and are being actively reviewed by P&P teams every two weeks.

**Feedback from ASCH Finance (GW)
07/04**

There are no additional financial considerations in relation to this proposal.

Feedback from PSW:

The Principal Social Worker has been engaged and consulted with this decision. The Principal Social Worker is satisfied that this decision has been made with due regard for the Department of Health and Social Care Ethical Framework. Whilst this decision was informed by the government's guidance about social distancing and COVID-19 guidance in relation to residential care and supported living full consideration has been given to contingency discussions and planning for alternative support evidenced by the following statement:

'All individuals will be monitored and reviewed during the cessation period to ensure that the withdrawal of planned respite doesn't lead to significant risks to their health and wellbeing'.

	<p>Guidance has been issued to frontline assessment staff to inform their person centred conversations when exploring equivalent levels of support. Associated RAG rating records have been regularly completed and updated.</p>
<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member (s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>Discussion between Helen Jones and Cllr Jean Wharmby on 22/03/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 19/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 27/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby at Cab Co 11/06/2020</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>Agreed Review agreed by CMT 07/04/2020 and SMT 08/04/2020 Review by SMT 22/04/2020 - Respite needs to continue to cease non urgent activity to support social distancing and the respite beds are also being utilised to support hospital discharge. Urgent respite provision is still in place as a mitigation.</p> <p>Review by SMT 06/05/2020: It is considered necessary to continue to cease planned respite activity due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clear evidence that spread within care homes is due to bringing people in from the community.</p> <p>We continue to need to reduce the risk of cross infection for both those using respite and long-term residents and so reducing the number of individuals coming in and out of the service is essential.</p> <p>Clients who would normally attend a planned respite break have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via respite. Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p>

<p>In addition, the respite beds are being utilised to support hospital discharge and increase bedded capacity in residential care.</p> <p>Urgent respite provision is still in place as a mitigation for clients where this is considered appropriate.</p> <p>Review by SMT 21/05/2020: It is considered necessary to continue to cease planned respite activity due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. Clear evidence that spread within care homes is due to bringing people in from the community.</p> <p>We continue to need to reduce the risk of cross infection for both those using respite and long-term residents and so reducing the number of individuals coming in and out of the service is essential.</p> <p>Clients who would normally attend a planned respite break have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via respite. Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>In addition, the respite beds are being utilised to support hospital discharge and increase bedded capacity in residential care.</p> <p>Urgent respite provision is still in place as a mitigation for clients where this is considered appropriate</p> <p>Review by SMT 04/06/2020: It is considered necessary to continue to cease planned respite activity due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. The revised guidance from the Government reducing some of the lockdown measures does not suggest the recommencing this type of activity is appropriate at this time. There continues to be clear evidence that spread within care homes is due to bringing people in from the community to these settings.</p> <p>Clients who would normally attend a planned respite break have had their care package reviewed and it is</p>

	<p>continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via respite. Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>Urgent respite provision is still in place as a mitigation for clients where this is considered appropriate.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: It is considered necessary to continue to cease planned respite activity due to ongoing social distancing requirements in line with national Government guidance. The revised guidance from the Government reducing some of the lockdown measures does not suggest the recommencing this type of activity is appropriate at this time. There continues to be clear evidence that spread within care homes is due to bringing people in from the community to these settings.</p> <p>Clients who would normally attend a planned respite break have had their care package reviewed and it is continuing to be reviewed on a minimum of a fortnightly basis to check that no additional support is required as an alternative to the support which would have normally been received via respite. Derbyshire Carers Association is also offering support to carers who may have seen their caring duties increase as a result of day centre provision being closed and carers emergency plans are being offered.</p> <p>Urgent respite provision is still in place as a mitigation for clients where this is considered appropriate.</p>
<p>Signature and Date: Simon Stevens 22/03/2020</p>	

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Simon Stevens	Service: Adult Social Care
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Significant management decisions which could have an adverse or controversial impact on the delivery of services or achievement of agreed targets	
Risk Management in relation to Residential Care	
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)	Essential maintenance and specific fire risk mitigation works in our Residential Care Homes for Older People
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?	Yes, this is a review of decision taken on 22/03/2020
Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?	Yes – it will be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more electoral divisions in the county area.
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):	To continue to undertake essential maintenance and specific fire risk mitigation works in our Residential Care Homes for Older People in order to deliver on previously identified risk mitigation and ensure the safety of residents and staff. Review process Decision is subject to a minimum of fortnightly review by SMT and CMT in line with national guidance re vulnerable groups and social distancing from HM Government.
Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision) Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state	Despite the Coronavirus outbreak and the requirements for people to work from home where possible and manage contact with other individuals through social distancing it is necessary for previously identified fire risk mitigation works to be completed so that residents

<p>how this has been taken into consideration.</p>	<p>and staff are supported to operate in a safe environment.</p> <p>Property colleagues had identified that all non-essential works should cease to protect their staff from the risk of infection and had suggested that the remaining fire risk mitigation works could be suspended.</p> <p>Further detailed discussions have taken place between Directors from both departments to weigh up the risks to residents and ASC staff associated with not completing the works against the risks to Property staff from undertaking them and it has now been agreed that those fire risk mitigation works and any other essential maintenance will continue to be completed.</p>
<p>Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options</p>	<p>Property and ASC managers and staff will complete individual risk assessments for each establishment / area of work and seek to ensure that infection control measures and social distancing requirements are maintained whilst the operatives are on site, including ensuring that the work area is closed to access for residents and staff whilst the tradespeople are on the premises.</p>
<p>Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated</p>	<p>Ongoing risk assessments have taken place to inform the wider mitigation works programme by Property Services and colleagues in health and safety.</p>
<p>Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate the decision</p>	<p>No, this would not have been subject to consultation with service users and the public as it relates to maintenance of residential care homes. It is separate to the decision which has been subject to consultation regarding the long-term strategy for Direct Care Homes for Older People.</p>
<p>Has any adverse impact on groups with protected</p>	<p>Not applicable as decision relates to maintenance work.</p>

<p>characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?</p>	
<p>Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))</p>	<p>Property fire risk mitigation reports and work progress documents.</p> <p>16. Feedback from Principal Social Worker</p> <p>The Principal Social Worker has been engaged and consulted with this decision. The Principal Social Worker is satisfied that this decision has been made with due regard for the Department of Health and Social Care Ethical Framework when reaching decisions which take due account of existing legislation and guidance alongside flexible approaches to ensure safety standards are maintained as evidence in the following statement: ‘Property and Adult Social Care managers and staff will complete individual risk assessments for each establishment / area of work and seek to ensure that infection control measures and social distancing requirements are maintained whilst the operatives are on site, including ensuring that the work area is closed to access for residents and staff whilst the tradespeople are on the premises.’</p> <p>17. Financial Considerations</p> <p>There are no additional costs associated with this decision.</p> <p>18. Human Resources Considerations</p> <p>....</p> <p>19. Legal Considerations</p>

	<p>The proposed work and the risks inherent in either undertaking or discontinuing this has been carefully evaluated. The decision to continue the work is a proportionate response to this evaluation. In the event of new guidance being received regarding the presence of operatives within residential settings the decision should be promptly revisited.</p>
<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member (s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>Taken to CMT for discussion w/c 30/03/2020 Discussion with Cllr Wharmby 30/03/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 19/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 27/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby at Cab Co 11/06/2020</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>Agreed Review agreed by CMT 08/04/2020 and SMT 07/04/2020</p> <p>Review by SMT 22/04/2020: This essential work needs to continue and an appropriate risk assessment is in place to ensure social distancing. SS to address query from Property. SS to check the staffing levels in homes in an evening.</p> <p>Review by SMT 06/05/2020: It is considered essential that this fire risk mitigation work continues and appropriate risk assessment are in place to ensure social distancing requirements are fulfilled.</p> <p>Property and Adult Social Care and Health managers and staff are undertaking individual risk assessments for each establishment / area of work and seek to ensure that infection control measures and social distancing requirements are maintained whilst the operatives are on site, including ensuring that the work area is closed to access for residents and staff whilst the tradespeople are on the premises. The status of the work is to be checked prior to next review to see if this ODR can be closed as the work is completed.</p> <p>Review by SMT 21/05/2020: Work is continuing with outstanding fire alarm work at East Clune and Gernon</p>

	<p>Manor and work has paused due to clients with COVID-19 being isolated in their bedrooms. Work needs to be completed across the whole site to ensure that there is a working fire alarm in place so this is delaying completion of the work. With these key tasks outstanding it is considered essential that this fire risk mitigation work continues and appropriate risk assessment are in place to ensure social distancing requirements are fulfilled</p> <p>Review by SMT 04/06/2020: Fire risk mitigation works are continuing to take place and unfortunately there have been some delays to the delivery of the work as the risks in relation to COVID have been managed alongside the initial programme plan. There have also been some delays with supply chain issues and this has delayed the work slightly.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: Fire risk mitigation works are continuing to take place and there have been some delays to the delivery of the work as per the programme plan. Fire alarm works at East Clune and Gernon Manor are scheduled to resume. Therefore in light of these outstanding issues it is appropriate the arrangements remain in place.</p>
Signature and Date: Simon Stevens 22/03/2020	

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Helen Jones		Service: Adult Social Care and Health all care packages	
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Emergency Powers			
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)		To adjust the client contribution guidance to meet changes in service due to Covid-19	
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?		Yes, this is a review of a decision approved by CMT on 8 April	
Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?		Yes,	
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):		<p>That client charging for specific scenarios will be as outlined in the attached appendix.</p> <p>This decision will be subject to a fortnightly review to make sure that the change arrangements appropriately reflect operational service arrangements which are in place in line with national guidance regarding social distancing and supporting vulnerable people throughout the COIV-19 pandemic.</p>	
Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision) Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state how		A number of services have now been closed and some clients are prevented from accessing other services. In these circumstances, guidance is required to outline which charging regime applies in these different scenarios.	

this has been taken into consideration.	
Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options	The only alternative option would have been to continue to charge clients, which we could technically have done under our current co-funding scheme. But as the clients are no longer receiving a service, it was thought that they would consider this to be unfair and would give rise to numerous complaints.
Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated	No risk assessment was carried out with regards to the ceasing of charging. But risk assessments were carried out for all clients to ensure that they would be safe when their services were removed.
Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate the decision	No. We would not expect to go to consultation when removing a charge, only when introducing or changing a charging regime.
Has any adverse impact on groups with protected characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?	No.
Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))	<p>There will be a reduction in income, but this is not expected to be significant, as the number of clients who cease to receive any services is a small proportion of the total client base.</p> <p>PSW Comments:</p> <p>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-changes-to-the-care-act-2014/care-act-easements-guidance-for-local-authorities#annex-b-guidance-on-streamlining-assessments-and-reviews</p> <p><i>Local Authorities should always ensure there is sufficient information and advice available in</i></p>

	<p><i>suitable formats to help people understand any financial contributions they are asked to make, including signposting to sources of independent financial information and advice.</i></p> <p><i>Legal approved – 07/04</i> <i>No comments on ODR received from HR and Corporate Finance</i></p>
<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member (s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 19/05/2020</p> <p>Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 27/05/2020</p> <p>Consultation with Cllr Wharmby at Cab Co 11/06/2020</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>08/04/2020</p> <p>22/04/2020 – SMT Review – no changes and continuation of arrangements approved for a further two weeks. GW to provide assurance</p> <p>06/05/2020 – SMT Review - No changes and continuation of arrangements approved for a further two weeks as position remains the same. Note that to support market we are not charging client but we are still paying the provider.</p> <p>Review by SMT 21/05/2020: No changes and continuation of arrangements approved for a further two weeks as position remains the same. Note that to support market we are not charging client but we are still paying the provider</p> <p>Review by SMT 04/06/2020: it was noted that the arrangements should continue and were approved for a further two weeks as position remains the same. Note that to support market we are not charging client but we are still paying the provider.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: No changes and continuation of arrangements approved for a further two weeks as position remains the same. Note that to support market we are not charging client but we are still paying the provider</p>
<p>Signature and Date: Julie Vollar</p>	

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Helen Jones	Service: Adult Social Care and Health
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Emergency Powers	
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)	Access to sufficient equipment to support discharge of people with reablement or rehabilitation needs at home
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?	Yes, the original decision was approved by CMT on 08/04/2020
Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?	No
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):	<p>To suspend current senior clinician approval process and equipment prescription matrices to support the objectives of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sufficiency of supply • quickly (same day where needed) and easily facilitated seven days a week • reduce the need for two carers <p>Derbyshire Clinical Commissioning Group as joint commissioners of this service will also be asked to make a decision to support this action.</p>
Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision) Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state how this has been taken into consideration.	<p>On 19th March Government issued 'COVID-19 Hospital Discharge Service Requirements'.</p> <p>This document sets out the Hospital Discharge Service Requirements for all NHS trusts, community interest companies and private care providers of acute, community beds and community health services and social care staff in England, who must adhere to this from Thursday 19th March 2020. It also sets out requirements around discharge for health and social care commissioners (including Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities).</p>

	<p>Based on these criteria, acute and community hospitals must discharge all patients as soon as they are clinically safe to do so. Transfer from the ward should happen within one hour of that decision being made to a designated discharge area. Discharge from hospital should happen as soon after that as possible, normally within 2 hours.</p> <p>Implementing these Service Requirements is expected to free up to at least 15,000 beds by Friday 27th March, with discharge flows maintained after that</p> <p>Section 8.4 Equipment and Assistive Technology, of these Requirements states the local commissioner for NHS and Social Care Equipment must ensure: “Simple approval process for more complex patients requiring hospital beds, pressure relieving equipment and hoists. This should be through discussion and verbal approval to order. Current senior clinician approval process and equipment prescription matrices will be stood down. “</p> <p>A simple approval process for more complex patients requiring hospital beds, pressure relieving equipment and hoists is still in development.</p>
<p>Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options</p>	<p>Amend authorisation for other prescribers who work for the other authorities with whom we have the cross-border agreement to allow for next and same day delivery without authorisation, which is likely to be the biggest help. This has been done for Sheffield and could be done for Nottinghamshire and Leicestershire.</p>

	<p>Increase the limits in prescriber item/basket totals on TCES, which would speed up most orders, but flag excessive ones, which indicate a higher level of complexity</p> <p>Continue with current authorisation arrangements and equipment matrices until there is evidence that the above objectives are no longer being met. This is not in line with Government Requirements.</p>
<p>Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated</p>	<p>It is worth noting that there is an inherent risk that by removing authorisation levels and professional checks that more expensive and/or technically incorrect equipment might be assigned to a client to facilitate discharge.</p> <p>As noted the costs of allocated equipment might also be high due to limited knowledge of clinicians who are unfamiliar of lower cost suitable equipment.</p> <p>The Council and CCG have ensured that the Community Equipment Service has clinical professional available 7 days a week to provide guidance and support to prescribers who are unfamiliar with the ordering system.</p> <p>We have also introduced a staged relaxation of the authorisation levels which can be flexed up and down depending on the demands from the hospital discharge teams.</p> <p>The normal cost sharing with CCG for equipment will need to be scrutinised to ensure Adult Care and Health do not contribute for clients being discharged under these amended arrangements.</p> <p>Finally, there is a risk that DCHS and the acute hospitals will want to maintain this arrangement once this challenging</p>

	<p>period settles down. Neither of these organisations have any budgetary responsibility for the ICES contract. It is therefore strongly recommended that authorisation levels are reinstated as soon as the pressure on the acute hospitals is released.</p>
<p>Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate the decision</p>	<p>No this change will not reduce availability of service provision so there is no need to consult with clients of this service</p>
<p>Has any adverse impact on groups with protected characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?</p>	<p>All eligible clients will continue to receive access to this service</p>
<p>Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))</p>	<p>Legal have reviewed the decision and following further discussion it has been agreed that the changes to the authorisation levels can be managed within the existing contract management approaches and this does not require a Cabinet Report or formal contract variation.</p>
<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member (s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 19/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on Review 27/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby at Cab Co 11/06/2020</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>Decision 08/04/2020 Review by SMT: 22/04/2020 and the following was noted: Risks are being managed at the moment. At next fortnightly review seek assurances from lead officers about how process is working and if there are concerns about removal of authorisations in terms of budget implications. Review by SMT 06/05/2020: 06/05/2020: Risks are being managed at the moment. Assurances have been provided by the contract manager in the attached briefing</p>

	<p>note about how process is working and there are no particular concerns about the removal of the authorisation levels at present.</p> <p>Review by SMT 21/05/2020: Risks are being managed at the moment. Assurances have been provided by the contract manager in the attached briefing note about how process is working and there are no particular concerns about the removal of the authorisation levels at present. CS will consider whether this arrangement continues to be in place in conjunction with health partners and update for the next review.</p> <p>Review by SMT 4/06 2020: It was noted that risks are being managed at the moment. Assurances have been provided by the contract manager about how process is working and there are no particular concerns about the removal of the authorisation levels at present. This arrangement needs to remain in place whilst hospitals return to normal activity increase there is increased demand for community equipment due to an increased number of hospital discharges.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: Risks are being managed at the moment. Assurances have been provided by the contract manager about how process is working and there are no particular concerns about the removal of the authorisation levels at present.</p>
Signature and Date: 08/04/2020 – Helen Jones	

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Helen Jones <i>*For emergency powers, this would be the Executive Director</i>	Service: Adult Social Care and Health
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Emergency powers <i>*The delegation detailed in the Constitution to the specified officer or emergency powers</i>	
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)	The ability to make discretionary payments in order maintain our Shared Lives carer capacity

	and reliance with effect from 1 April 2020 to support placements.
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?	No
Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?	No – as it does not affect significant numbers of people in two or more electoral divisions. It does not involve spending more that £500,000.
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):	<p>From 1 April 2020 it is proposed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pay full time Shared Lives carers an additional £40 per week. • Pay short break and day support Shared Lives carers the amount which they ordinarily would have earned. <p>Due to the lack of day and residential short breaks being available to shared lives carers they are in effect being asked to provide unpaid care for 3 days per week 9 to 5 plus the 4 weeks 28 days per year (pro rata). Over the 2 months since the suspension of day and residential services this equates to a total of 27 days unpaid work.</p> <p>This proposal would be initially implemented for a period of eight weeks, after which a review will take place with the service manager responsible for Shared Lives and the appropriate Group Manager with Assistant Director oversight on a fortnightly basis. The review would ascertain whether the additional payments need to continue for a further period of time. This would be a delegated decision.</p>

<p>Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision)</p> <p>Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state how this has been taken into consideration.</p>	<p>DCC Shared Lives carers provide family based 24 hour accommodation and support primarily for people with learning disabilities.</p> <p>Some Shared Lives carers also provide both day care and overnight short break opportunities in order that carers of people who continue to live in a family home can have a break from their caring roles</p> <p>In order to sustain what can be a demanding role, the current offer to DCC Shared Lives carers who provide family type accommodation includes the following regular short breaks from their caring role</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 days daytime breaks per week between 9am to 5pm • 4 weeks residential short breaks <p>This is typically (though not exclusively) accessed through DCC Direct Care day services and DCC or health residential short breaks provision.</p> <p>The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in closure of all day and short break services including those run by DCC and the NHS health trust. Consequently, this has curtailed available breaks for Shared Lives carers forcing them to undertake care on a 24/7 basis for the foreseeable future.</p> <p>Those Shared Lives cares who provide regular short breaks for the families/carers of people with learning disabilities and breaks during the day are currently unable to do so due to both the closure of day services and the rules on social distancing. Consequently, they have seen a significant drop in their income.</p>
---	--

	<p>These Shared Lives carers are not able to take advantage of government schemes for the self-employed throughout the pandemic response period and are therefore financially disadvantaged unless DCC makes an additional payment.</p> <p>Despite a recent local marketing and media campaign to highlight this valuable role, recruiting Shared Lives carers has been very difficult in Derbyshire. We are very concerned that without providing some additional support to this valuable resource we will be unable to sustain existing carers throughout the COVID-19 pandemic and may also risk losing those carers who may feel they are no longer able to, or afford to continue in this role. Consequently, we propose to make an additional £40 per week payment to all our Shared Lives carers until such times we can reintroduce our previously agreed respite/short breaks arrangements.</p>
Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options	For short break and day support carers a 'one off' payment was considered, but this was thought to be unfair due to the

	<p>different level of support that each carer gives. The proposed method better reflects the range of activities undertaken by the carer to support each individual/s they care for.</p> <p>A summary of approaches taken in other local authority areas is attached as Appendix 1.</p>
Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated	<p>The risk for the department is that if we do not show some recognition of the time that carers are putting in they will become potentially look to end the Shared Lives placement they support. There is a risk of losing some very good carers and the people they are caring for would then have to be place in significantly more expensive care and support packages put in place. For short break and day support carers the risk to them is no income and no opportunity to take advantage of the governments schemes. The risk to the department is the loss of Shared Lives carers.</p>
Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate he decision	No
Has any adverse impact on groups with protected characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?	No groups are being negatively impacted.
Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))	<p>Comments from Finance</p> <p>The weekly costs of these proposals are estimated to be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full Time Carers - £1,800 • Respite Carers - £1,500 <p>With the total cost for the 8 weeks being £26,400</p>

	<p>This would be a commitment against council resources and partially offset from the non-ring-fenced Covid-19 Government Grant.</p> <p>All decisions around meeting Covid-19 costs are unlikely to be fully funded from current Government additional funding available. As such implications will fall on the ability to provide services for the rest of the financial year and into the medium term</p> <p>Comments from Principal Social Worker</p> <p>Shared Lives is an important way we can help support people to stay as independent as possible and our Shared Lives carers require both skill and commitment to values of caring for others. This commitment helps to ensure Shared Lives arrangements are safe, supported and valued. This in turn should support the wellbeing of clients. Information about these arrangements need to be appropriately shared in accessible formats.</p> <p>Comments from legal</p> <p>No implications from a Care Act perspective. It seems to be a financial decision around how much is allocated for this purpose. It is sensible to seek to support these providers, given the market shaping duties under the Care Act.</p>
<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member (s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on decision 27/05/2020</p> <p>Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on review of ODR at Cab Co 11/06/2020, full ODR pending sign off at Cab Co meeting which is to be scheduled.</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>Agreed by CMT 22/05/2020.</p> <p>27/05/2020 – agreed that payments would be after the decision was reported to Cab Co.</p> <p>04/06/2020 review by SMT: It was noted that due to the lack of day and residential short breaks being available to</p>

	<p>shared lives carers, individuals are in effect being asked to provide unpaid care for three days per week and this needs to be recognised formally via additional payments. Therefore the current temporary arrangements need to remain in place.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: Due to the lack of day and residential short breaks being available to shared lives carers, individuals are in effect being asked to provide unpaid care for 3 days per week 9 to 5 plus and this needs to be recognised formally via additional payments. It is proposed that this arrangement continues.</p>
<p>Signature and Date: Helen Jones 22/05/2020</p>	

This page is intentionally left blank

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

CABINET MEMBER

25 June 2020

Report of the Strategic Director for Adult Social Care & Health

DISCRETIONARY PAYMENTS IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN OUR SHARED LIVES CARER CAPACITY THROUGHOUT COVID-19 PERIOD.

ADULT SOCIAL CARE

1. Purpose of the Report

Cabinet are asked to note the urgent decision taken by Corporate Management Team on 22 May to make discretionary payments in order maintain our Shared Lives carer capacity and reliance with effect from 1 April 2020 to support placements.

2. Information and Analysis

From 1 April 2020 it has been agreed we will:

- Pay full-time Shared Lives carers an additional £40 per week.
- Pay short break and day support Shared Lives carers the amount which they ordinarily would have earned.

Due to the lack of day and residential short breaks being available to Shared Lives carers they are in effect being asked to provide unpaid care for three days per week 9am until 5pm, plus the 4 weeks 28 days per year (pro rata). Over the two months since the suspension of day and residential services this equates to a total of 27 days unpaid work.

This proposal would be initially implemented for a period of eight weeks, after which a review will take place on a fortnightly basis with the service manager responsible for Shared Lives and the appropriate Group Manager with Assistant Director oversight. The review would ascertain whether the additional payments need to continue for a further period of time. This would be a delegated decision.

Shared Lives carers provide family based 24-hour accommodation and support primarily for people with learning disabilities.

Some Shared Lives carers also provide both day care and overnight short break opportunities in order that carers of people who continue to live in a family home can have a break from their caring roles

In order to sustain what can be a demanding role, the current offer to Shared Lives carers who provide family type accommodation includes the following regular short breaks from their caring role

- Three days daytime breaks per week between 9am to 5pm
- Four weeks residential short breaks

This is typically, though not exclusively, accessed through Direct Care Day Services and residential short breaks provision.

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in closure of all day and short break services including those run by DCC and the NHS health trust. Consequently, this has curtailed available breaks for Shared Lives carers forcing them to undertake care on a 24/7 basis for the foreseeable future.

Those Shared Lives carers who provide regular short breaks for the families/carers of people with learning disabilities and breaks during the day are currently unable to do so due to both the closure of day services and the rules on social distancing. Consequently, they have seen a significant drop in their income. These Shared Lives carers are not able to take advantage of government schemes for the self-employed throughout the lock down period and are therefore financially disadvantaged unless DCC makes an additional payment.

Despite a recent local marketing and media campaign to highlight this valuable role, recruiting Shared Lives carers has been very difficult in Derbyshire. We are very concerned that without providing some additional support to this valuable resource we will be unable to sustain existing carers throughout the COVID-19 pandemic and may also risk losing those carers who may feel they are no longer able to or can afford to continue in this role. Consequently, we propose to make an additional £40 per week payment to all our Shared Lives carers until such times we can reintroduce our previously agreed respite/short breaks arrangements.

For short break and day support carers a 'one off' payment was considered, but this was thought to be unfair due to the different level of support that each carer gives. The proposed method better reflects the range of activities undertaken by the carer to support each individual/s they care for.

The risk for the department is that if we do not show some recognition of the time that carers are putting in they will potentially look to end the Shared Lives placement they support. There is a risk of losing some very good carers and the people they are caring for would then have to be placed in significantly more expensive care and support packages put in place.

For short break and day support carers the risk to them is no income and no opportunity to take advantage of the government's schemes. The risk to the department is the loss of Shared Lives carers.

The decision would not normally be subject to consultation

3. Comments from Principal Social Worker

Shared Lives is an important way we can help support people to stay as independent as possible and our Shared Lives carers require both skill and commitment to values of caring for others. This commitment helps to ensure Shared Lives arrangements are safe, supported and valued. This in turn should support the wellbeing of clients.

Information about these arrangements need to be appropriately shared in accessible formats.

4. Financial Considerations

The weekly costs of these proposals are estimated to be:

- Full Time Carers - £1,800
- Respite Carers - £1,500

With the total cost for the eight weeks being £26,400

This would be a commitment against council resources and partially offset from the non-ring-fenced COVID-19 Government Grant.

All decisions around meeting COVID-19 costs are unlikely to be fully funded from current Government additional funding available. As such implications will fall on the ability to provide services for the rest of the financial year and into the medium term.

5. Legal Considerations

No implications from a Care Act perspective. It is sensible to seek to support these providers, given the market shaping duties under the Care Act.

6. Equality implications

Following consideration and assessment of the proposed decision it is considered that no groups from an equality perspective are being negatively impacted. The proposal recognises the valuable contribution that carers make to support vulnerable people through the Shared Lives arrangements.

7. Other Considerations

In preparing this report the relevance of the following factors has been considered: Human Rights, health, environmental, transport, property, social value and crime and disorder considerations.

8. Background Papers

The Office Decision Record is attached to this report at appendix 1.

9. Key Decision

No

10. Is it required that the Call-in period be waived in respect of the decisions being proposed within this report?

No

11. Officer's Recommendation

Cabinet are asked to note the urgent decision taken by Corporate Management Team on 21 May to make discretionary payments in order maintain our Shared Lives carer capacity and reliance with effect from 1 April 2020 to support placements.

Helen Jones
Executive Director – Adult Social Care & Health
County Hall
MATLOCK

Appendix 1

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

OFFICER DECISION AND DECISION REVIEW RECORD

Officer: Helen Jones <i>*For emergency powers, this would be the Executive Director</i>	Service: Adult Social Care and Health
Delegated Power Being Exercised: Emergency powers <i>*The delegation detailed in the Constitution to the specified officer or emergency powers</i>	
Subject of Decision: (i.e. services affected)	The ability to make discretionary payments in order maintain our Shared Lives carer capacity and reliance with effect from 1 April 2020 to support placements.
Is this a review of a decision? If so, what was the date of the original decision?	No
Key decision? If so have Democratic Services been notified?	No – as it does not affect significant numbers of people in two or more electoral divisions. It does not involve spending more that £500,000.
Decision Taken (specify precise details, including the period over which the decision will be in place and when it will be (further) reviewed):	<p>From 1 April 2020 it is proposed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pay full time Shared Lives carers an additional £40 per week. • Pay short break and day support Shared Lives carers the amount which they ordinarily would have earned. <p>Due to the lack of day and residential short breaks being available to shared lives carers they are in effect being asked to provide unpaid care for 3 days per week 9 to 5 plus the 4 weeks 28 days per year (pro rata). Over the 2 months since the suspension of day and residential services this equates to a total of 27 days unpaid work.</p> <p>This proposal would be initially implemented for a period of eight weeks, after which a review will take place with the service manager responsible for Shared Lives and the appropriate Group Manager with Assistant Director oversight on a fortnightly basis. The review would ascertain whether the additional payments need to continue for a further period of time. This would be a delegated decision.</p>

<p>Reasons for the Decision (specify all reasons for taking the decisions including where necessary reference to Council policy and anticipated impact of the decision)</p> <p>Where the decision is subject to statutory guidance please state how this has been taken into consideration.</p>	<p>DCC Shared Lives carers provide family based 24 hour accommodation and support primarily for people with learning disabilities.</p> <p>Some Shared Lives carers also provide both day care and overnight short break opportunities in order that carers of people who continue to live in a family home can have a break from their caring roles</p> <p>In order to sustain what can be a demanding role, the current offer to DCC Shared Lives carers who provide family type accommodation includes the following regular short breaks from their caring role</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 days daytime breaks per week between 9am to 5pm • 4 weeks residential short breaks <p>This is typically (though not exclusively) accessed through DCC Direct Care day services and DCC or health residential short breaks provision.</p> <p>The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in closure of all day and short break services including those run by DCC and the NHS health trust. Consequently, this has curtailed available breaks for Shared Lives carers forcing them to undertake care on a 24/7 basis for the foreseeable future.</p> <p>Those Shared Lives cares who provide regular short breaks for the families/carers of people with learning disabilities and breaks during the day are currently unable to do so due to both the closure of day services and the rules on social distancing. Consequently, they have seen a significant drop in their income.</p> <p>These Shared Lives carers are not able to take advantage of government schemes for the self-employed throughout the pandemic response period and are therefore financially disadvantaged unless DCC makes an additional payment.</p> <p>Despite a recent local marketing and media campaign to highlight this valuable role, recruiting Shared Lives carers has been very difficult in Derbyshire. We are very concerned that without providing some additional support to this valuable</p>
---	--

	<p>resource we will be unable to sustain existing carers throughout the COVID-19 pandemic and may also risk losing those carers who may feel they are no longer able to, or afford to continue in this role. Consequently, we propose to make an additional £40 per week payment to all our Shared Lives carers until such times we can reintroduce our previously agreed respite/short breaks arrangements.</p>
<p>Alternative Options Considered (if appropriate) and reasons for rejection of other options</p>	<p>For short break and day support carers a 'one off' payment was considered, but this was thought to be unfair due to the different level of support that each carer gives. The proposed method better reflects the range of activities undertaken by the carer to support each individual/s they care for.</p> <p>A summary of approaches taken in other local authority areas is attached as Appendix 1.</p>
<p>Has a risk assessment been conducted- if so what are the potential adverse impacts identified and how will these be mitigated</p>	<p>The risk for the department is that if we do not show some recognition of the time that carers are putting in they will become very disgruntled and potentially look to end the Shared Lives placement they support. There is a risk of losing some very good carers and the people they are caring for would then have to be placed in significantly more expensive care and support packages put in place. For short break and day support carers the risk to them is no income and no opportunity to take advantage of the governments' schemes. The risk to the department is the loss of Shared Lives carers.</p>
<p>Would the decision normally have been the subject of consultation with service users and the public. If so, explain why this is not practicable and the steps that have or will be taken to communicate the decision</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Has any adverse impact on groups with protected characteristics been identified and if so, how will these be mitigated?</p>	<p>No groups are being negatively impacted.</p>

<p>Background/Reports/Information considered and attached (including Legal, HR, Financial and other considerations as required))</p>	<p>Comments from Finance The weekly costs of these proposals are estimated to be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full Time Carers - £1,800 • Respite Carers - £1,500 <p>With the total cost for the 8 weeks being £26,400</p> <p>This would be a commitment against council resources and partially offset from the non-ring-fenced Covid-19 Government Grant. All decisions around meeting Covid-19 costs are unlikely to be fully funded from current Government additional funding available. As such implications will fall on the ability to provide services for the rest of the financial year and into the medium term</p> <p>Comments from Principal Social Worker Shared Lives is an important way we can help support people to stay as independent as possible and our Shared Lives carers require both skill and commitment to values of caring for others. This commitment helps to ensure Shared Lives arrangements are safe, supported and valued. This in turn should support the wellbeing of clients. Information about these arrangements need to be appropriately shared in accessible formats.</p> <p>Comments from legal No implications from a Care Act perspective. It seems to be a financial decision around how much is allocated for this purpose. It is sensible to seek to support these providers, given the market shaping duties under the Care Act.</p>
<p>Consultation with relevant Cabinet Member(s) – please note this is obligatory.</p>	<p>Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on decision 27/05/2020 Consultation with Cllr Wharmby on review of ODR at Cab Co 11/06/2020, full ODR pending sign off at Cab Co meeting which is to be scheduled.</p>
<p>Decision:</p>	<p>Agreed by CMT 22/05/2020. 27/05/2020 – agreed that payments would be after the decision was reported to Cab Co. 04/06/2020 review by SMT: It was noted that due to the lack of day and residential short breaks being available to shared lives carers, individuals are in effect being asked to provide unpaid care for three days per week and this needs to be recognised formally via additional payments. Therefore the current temporary arrangements need to remain in place.</p> <p>Review by SMT 18/06/2020: Due to the lack of day and residential short breaks being available to shared lives carers,</p>

	individuals are in effect being asked to provide unpaid care for 3 days per week 9 to 5 plus and this needs to be recognised formally via additional payments. It is proposed that this arrangement continues.
Signature and Date: Helen Jones 22/05/2020	

This page is intentionally left blank

DERBYSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

CABINET MEMBER

25 June 2020

Report of the Executive Director for Adult Social Care and Health

ADULT SOCIAL CARE INFECTION CONTROL FUND

ADULT CARE

1. Purpose of the Report

To seek Cabinet Member approval to distribute the remaining 25% of the first tranche of the infection control fund allocated to home care and care home providers.

2. Information and Analysis

2.1 Background

On the 22 May 2020, the Minister of State for Care wrote to local authorities setting out details of how a new Infection Control Fund should be used. This funding will be paid as a Section 31 grant ring fenced exclusively for actions which support care homes and domiciliary care providers which must only be used by them to tackle the risks of COVID-19 infections.

For Derbyshire the total fund is £9.741m, with the first tranche of two instalments equating to £4.870m.

75% of each instalment is to be paid to care homes providers on a “per bed” basis with no distinction to be made whether the local authority has a contract with the provider or not. Payments have already been made and providers are now submitting their declarations that they will use the funds in line with the grant criteria.

Allocating the remaining 25% of the fund

The local authority has the discretion to target the allocation of the remaining 25% of the funding to care homes or to domiciliary care providers and to

support wider workforce resilience. The funding must only be used for infection control purposes. It should not be used for fee uplift.

Payment is subject to the Provider having completed the Capacity Tracker at least once and being committed to completing the Tracker on a consistent basis. The local authority must remain satisfied at all times that the funding is being used for infection control purposes.

Providers must account for all payments paid out of their allocation and keep appropriate records which must be produced to the local authority upon request. The first tranche of funding has to be allocated by the Council by 26 June 2020.

The Chair of the Scrutiny Committee (People) has been consulted and has agreed that the report is properly an urgent one and cannot reasonably be deferred until the next meeting in light of when the measure were announced, the steps that local authorities are required to take, and the pending deadline.

2.2 Proposed Actions

It is proposed to apportion the remaining 25% of the fund as follows:

- Care Homes - allocated on a registered CQC bed basis at £75.73 per bed. This will mean the whole care home market is treated the same. As with the 75% the fund must be used on Covid-19 infection control measures and may be used towards cleaning and the provision of PPE
- Home Care and Supported Living – whilst the majority of the market is contracted for by the Council, it is proposed to pay all providers a flat rate of £183.87 per client. This will mean the whole market is treated the same. Providers will be advised this funding must be used on Covid-19 infection control measures; and may be used towards cleaning and the provision of PPE

Home Care providers will also be asked as part of their receipt of these funds to ensure that they complete the CQC provider tracker. We have been advised that the take up rate in Derbyshire is 60%. This tracker was introduced to provide CQC and government with an overview of Home Care activity across the country. Providers will be asked to provide feedback on this funding allocation in readiness for the distribution of the second tranche of 25%.

2.3 Business Continuity

It is worth noting that there is an inherent risk that if additional payments are not made that the sustainability of individual services may be put at risk. Over

half of the providers commissioned to provide care on behalf of Adult Social Care have made requests for funding to assist with meeting additional costs including spiralling costs of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and meeting the costs associated with backfilling self –isolating staff with agency workers and the additional staffing needed to care for people who are self-isolating, especially in a care home setting.

The Executive Director of Adult Social Care and Health notes that following discussion and agreement with the Director of Finance and ICT and Cabinet Member for Adult Care it was agreed to make additional temporary payments of 10% on fee rates for May and June 2020. This decision was made following Cabinet approval on the 23 April 2020 to make temporary payments of 10% extra to care homes and home care providers to cover additional costs associated with the impact of Covid 19. Cabinet also agreed at that time that the Executive Director of Adult Social Care and Health would have delegated powers to make a decision about additional temporary funding for May and June 2020 following discussion and agreement with the Director of Finance and ICT and Cabinet Member for Adult Care. The total payment over the three months was £4.238m.

3. Financial Considerations

The following table describes the funding allocated to the Council

Total Allocation	9,740,972
1st Tranche (50%)	4,870,486
Less; 75% Passported for CQC-Registered Beds	
Independent Sector	3,168,180
In House	387,479
Balance Available (25%)	1,314,827

As proposed the above available funding of £1.324m will then be distributed to care homes and home care providers operating in Derbyshire as set out below:

	No of Clients	£ per Client	No of Beds	£ per Bed	Total £
Residential and Nursing			6,582	75.73	498,461
Ind Dom Servs	3,347	183.87			615,400
Non-Contracted Home Care	265	183.87			48,725

Supported Living	828	183.87	152,241
			1,314,827

4. Legal Considerations

The Care Act 2014 places a duty on the Council to promote an efficient and effective market. In performing that duty, the Council must have regard to the importance of ensuring the sustainability of the market.

Due regard has been given to the Department of Health & Social Care's Guidance About the Adult Social Care Infection Control Fund published 9 June 2020 and the Local Authority Circular: Adult Social Care Infection Control Fund ring-fenced Grant 2020.

Whilst support provided by the Adult Social Care Infection Control Fund may constitute state aid, in relation to funding to residential care providers to implement COVID-19 infection control measures, the Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) considers that such funding is covered by the Services of General Economic Interest Decision (SGEI) 2012/21/EU and therefore, such funding does not amount to state aid. This is because the measures will help reduce the incidence and spread of COVID-19 and are over and above that which care providers would normally be expected to provide and are of particular importance to and are in the interest of care home residents, workers and their families and the general public.

5. Equality and Diversity Considerations

Providers are required to adhere to Derbyshire County Council's Equal Opportunities policies. The Council also encourages Providers to gain the Derbyshire Respect and Dignity Award.

6. Other Considerations

In preparing this report the relevance of the following factors has been considered: human resources, health, environmental, transport, and crime and disorder considerations.

7. Key Decision

No

8. Is it necessary to waive the call-in period in respect of any decisions proposed in the report? Yes

The Cabinet Member is intended to treat this report as urgent and is, therefore, not subject to call in in view of the need to meet the deadline for distribution of the funding .The Chair of the Scrutiny Committee (People) has been consulted and he has agreed that the decision proposed is reasonable in all the circumstances and to it being treated as a matter of urgency.

9. Officer's Recommendation

That Cabinet Member agrees to distribute 25% of the first tranche of the nationally distributed adult social care infection control fund to the following:

- £75.73 per care quality commission registered care bed
- £183.87 per home care client including non-contracted care

Helen Jones
Executive Director – Adult Social Care and Health
County Hall
Matlock

This page is intentionally left blank

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank